CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING
October 09, 2006

CALL TO ORDER BY THE MAYOR

The regular meeting of the City Council of Mount Holly was called to order at 7:00 p.m. by Mayor Robert Whitt. The following were present:
Mayor Robert Whitt
Mayor Pro-Tem Phyllis Harris
Councilman Jerry Bishop
Councilman Jim Hope
Councilwoman Pat Hubbard
Councilman Frank McLean
Councilman David Moore
City Manager David Kraus
Assistant City Manager Danny Jackson
Assistant City Manager Jamie Guffey
Community Development Planner Greg Beal
Interim Police Chief Jim Benfield
Fire Chief Dale Oplinger
Street and Solid Waste Director Mike Santmire

INVOCATION

The invocation was led by Rev Brian Childers, Minister of Music – First Baptist Church in Mount Holly.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Boy Scout Troup #59 led the Council and audience in the ceremony of the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

The agenda was approved with removal of #7 to add it to #5 of new business, upon motion by Councilperson Hubbard, seconded by Councilperson Harris, with all in favor.

CONSENT AGENDA

Councilperson Hubbard **MOVED** to approve the Consent Agenda with items, seconded by Councilperson Hope , with all in favor. The items approved were:
CA#1. <u>To Accept and Approve the Minutes</u>.
CA#2. <u>To Approve Supplemental Appropriation for Fire Department Generator.</u>
CA#3. <u>To Consider Approval of Final Plat Map 1&2 of Westland Farms.</u>
CA#4. <u>To Consider Approval of final Plat Phase 3A Map 1&2 of Stonewater Subdivision.</u>
CA#5. <u>To Call For Public Hearing on the Financing of the Mount Holly Citizen Center.</u>
CA#6. <u>To Approval Public Housing CFP Annual Statement.</u>

MANAGER'S REPORT – Mr. David Kraus:
Property acquisition under clean water trust fund update – we have met with Crescent They have proposed a right of way access from Mountain Island Road to Wester Road however they did not propose actually constructing the roadway. We still feel at this point we are at a stale mate with the crossing on Wester Road. New appraisal on Duke Property has been sent off and we are awaiting state response for that. We are in good shape with the Duke Power property. If the crossing on the crescent property remains open, we will be making a public crossing on a public road. We are relying on crescent to pressure CSX to resolve crossing issue. At some point, we will have to make a decision. The grant expires in April of 2007 unless we are allowed a grant extension. The route proposed was discussed between the mayor and the city manager in relation to drainage caverns on alternate route. Mr. Kraus stated we are trying to push Crescent into dealing with CSX and we have also contacted CSX ourselves asking for a public crossing. Mr. Whitt asked for direction from council as it relates to this issue. M. Moore stated we need to continue to push for this property. Acreage price was discussed between Mayor, council and city manager. Mr. Hope asked is there is a procedure to make this a public road versus a private road? Mr. Michael stated Wester road is not a city street. It is a private easement. Crescent dedicated an easement from HWY 273 all the way across the railroad track and there is a provision in there that that road would be dedicated to the city if the city requested it. The paved portion is used by national Gypsum currently and they will be getting that portion in working order. The gravel portion could be made a public street at least across the railroad track. At some point, the city would want to cul-de-sac that portion off with fencing to keep the public out of that area. Cleanwater will not fund the grant without a permanent easement to get to the property. An offering of easement would cost probably more than the city would want to pay to construct a road there. The road would be a great benefit to both adjacent owners but they are not willing to contribute. Mr. Michael stated I do not see any progress by Crescent as far as working with CSX. There is nothing visible that has happened since last January. About a week ago, I got a letter from the attorney of Crescent, with an extension to continue working on the crossing issue. Does council want to extend contract to January? I do not see this hurting anything to enter into the agreement. All of this being submitted to the grant committee will take a month or more to review before they make their decision. Mr. Michael discussed crossing dilemmas concerning this property. Mr. Kraus stated there is nothing that requires a city road to be paved. If we make this a public road, does this solve permitting issues? No. Mr. Whitt asked how long have we been in negotiations with no head way? Mr. Michael stated periodically for the last nine months I have called the attorney and have talked to Crescent stating they are working on a survey for another easement. Duke and Crescent both have to give us an easement. Ms. Hubbard asked is there any expense to us if we made this road a public road? Mr. Kraus stated no. Mr. Kraus stated if council would like for us to make this a public road. Mr. Michael stated I have never heard Crescent or the rail road state we are not granting

easement because it is not a public road. Mr. Kraus stated the comments I have gotten have indicated that at some point if the usage point requires it, signalization would be required. Mr. Whitt stated it looks like we have not made much progress with this. Mr. Hope stated we had an issue with a crossing years ago and made it a public street and got our crossing. We need to check comparables on this. Mr. Michael stated I am not giving up on it. There is nothing to give up on. This is just a status report. The mayor suggested earlier that we meet with heads at crescent and that makes a lot of sense. Mr. Michael stated we can dedicate this as a city street without a public hearing, by a gift from National Gypsum. Mr. Michael asked for council to extend contract with crescent regarding this property.
Motion: Motion by Ms. Hubbard to extend contract with Crescent Resources regarding this property, seconded by Mr. Moore.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIES.

Mr. James Friday was introduced as new public utility director. He previously worked for Rock Hill for ten years and then went to Camden, SC and he was there for four years. For the past four years, he was with Walt Disney World. He met with CMUD today. That meeting went very well. They will propose a scope o service with a dollar amount and we will come back to present that to Council. Ultimately, it should lead to an agreement between MH and CMUD.

Update on planning position, we will have one interview next week.

Greenways and A &E, they are virtually ready to give us the easement. We will meet with them tomorrow to discuss water and sewer rates. On Thursday, we will walk the easement with their engineers and attorney. We are waiting to hear from Duke. Clariant is working with us to schedule a meeting as well.

Tax bills are going out.

WK Dickson estimates three to four months to get plans in work for elevated water tank.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS
UFB#1 To Approve Guaranteed estimated maximum price for MH citizen center.
Cox and Scheppe Representative went over changes as requested by council on last Tuesday's meeting. (change sheet presented to each council member). Each item was discussed individually as written on requested list. The item total was 1.197 million. The original design estimate minus our value engineering with no subtotal of 5.9 million and then cost for performance bond and construction contingency and preconstruction fee for grand new total of 6.3 million. This is the estimated guaranteed maximum price. Mr. Whitt stated I do not recall that we decided for sure to put in glass tiles in the bathroom. He asked for the difference about glass tiles not being used at all. Mr. Whitt stated his concern is that 14,000 difference is for tiles in the restroom. A deduct alternate is what we had originally

asked for. Mayor Whitt stated we have gone over this in great detail during work session meeting last year. Ms. Hubbard stated the reason that this is an estimated price is because bids could come in lower, correct? Answered yes.

Motion: Mr. Hope moves to approve estimated guaranteed maximum price of 6.3 million based upon the changes made by Council and that project be bid according to information in hand of Council. Seconded by Ms. Harris.
Vote: unanimous. CARRIED.

Mr. Kraus stated we have been talking to the banks. BB&T is sticking firmly to a 15 year term. Wachovia is agreeable to a 20 year term. We would like to begin negotiations with Wachovia. Mr. Guffey stated last month when we decided to move forward with BB&T, they have since refused a 20 year term. They will not go past 15 years. At the time of the last meeting, Wachovia had the second lowest rate and they will agree to a 20 year term. The LGC is okay with 20year terms as well. They will also do a three year upfront interest only. 120,000 year difference in payment by going out to a 20 year term. The extra would be about 2 cent on the tax rate. Mr. Guffey stated our original 20 year request would be for tax flow purposes. This is based on borrowing 7 million, repayment of some fees we have paid in advance. A good portion of this may be refunded fairly early at a much lower rate. USDA is quite a bit lower, and early payment is not penalized. There is a possibility they may be able to fund the whole thing. Mr. Hope asked would it be advantageous to limit the loan to needed amount versus paying back our prepaid fees from this. Mr. Guffey stated the final resolution would be made in November. The resolution set to approve borrowing the money in November will also designate the amount approved for the loan. Mr. Guffey stated furniture and equipment are going to be handled under a different contract and will probably be a budget item. MR. Kraus stated his recommendation is to appropriate furniture and fixtures as a pay as you go type item. Mr. Hope stated if we can get the payoff at no cost, we should go to 20 year term. We should know about USDA application in five to six weeks.

Motion: Motion by Ms. Hubbard, seconded by Mr. Bishop to move forward with negotiations with Wachovia.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIES.

UFB#2 To Consider Construction Contract with Faison Enterprises for Construction of Pump station. Mr. Michael stated this will serve the entire basin With Faison paying the earlier agreed amount, and city would pay the difference between their cost and the actual construction cost. We have a contract with Faison. The state statute requires that the city invoke two ordinances prior to enter into reimbursement agreements. Mr. Michael stated in this proposal, the city's part is under 300,000 and it would e proper for Faison to do informal bids and this has already been done. This policy allows informal bids depending on city's amount.

Motion: Ms. Hubbard moves to accept Ordinance 10-09-06A as follows, seconded by Mr. Moore.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIES

Ordinance 10-09-06A: ORDINANCE FOR ESTABLISHING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENTS UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF N.C.G.S. 160A-499.

WHEREAS, The City Council of Mount Holly foresees advantages to entering into Reimbursement Agreements from time to time under the provisions of N.C.G.S. 160A-499 and wishes to establish procedures and terms under which such agreements may be approved.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby enacted that Reimbursement Agreements may be entered into when the City Council deems the same to be in the best interest of the City of Mount Holly and where the purpose of said agreement is within the scope of N.C.G.S. 160A-499. Such contracts shall require either formal or informal bids based upon the amount of expenditure of public funds. City shall require the posting of payment and performance bonds in amounts equal to the contract amount. The City may from time to time establish additional terms and conditions to such agreements as it may deem appropriate with N.C.G.S. 160A-499.

Motion: Ms. Hubbard moves to accept Ordinance 10-09-06B as follows, seconded by Mr. Bishop.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIES.

Ordinance 10-09-06B: ORDINANCE FOR ADDITION TO MOUNT HOLLY'S CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN.

WHEREAS, the City Council has established the need to construct a Regional Sewer Pump Station to be located near the Stowe YMCA to serve the surrounding drainage basin; and

WHEREAS, such Regional Pump Station would replace the current Swagnit Pump Station and the YMCA Pump Station and provide sufficient for the surrounding drainage basin including a townhouse development now being constructed by Faison-Gaston Gateway, LLC; and

WHEREAS, the construction costs of the Pump Station is approximately $525,000.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby enacted that the above-described Regional Pump Station is hereby added to the City's Capital Improvement Plan effective upon passage of this Ordinance.

Discussion of The Regional Pump Station Agreement took place at this time (Proposed agreement provided by Mr. Michael for Council review). Design has been reviewed by Bob Cook as well. Mr. Whitt asked where the money is coming from. Mr. Kraus stated this will be a four year loan, payments being made from system development fees from the project. The hospital will not tie into this project.
Motion: Motion by Ms. Hubbard, seconded by Mr. McLean to approve agreement as presented by city attorney.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIES.

Mr. Michael stated Mr. Cook has existing estimates / bids on the city's portion. You could award the city's portion of this project to the lowest responsible bidder. Bob Cook, US Infrastructure, Charlotte – engineer. has researched eh company. Mr. Cook stated the bidder has been researched and we have no problem recommending them for this project. Mr. Hope asked about terms of bid. Mr. Cook stated they were 60 day bids. Mr. Cook stated all of their references have been outstanding and have all been jobs this size.

Motion: Motion to award bid to Dallas One Construction in sum of $277, 774.35. by Mr. Hope. Seconded by Mr. McLean.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIES.

UFB#3 To amend city code regarding junk vehicle issue. Mr. Kraus stated If vehicle is over 25 years old, citizen can apply for antique or classic car permit – if you do not have permit, it is not allowed at all. Mr. Kraus stated they still have to meet item #1 through #4. Mr. Michael stated that permit should have an expiration date on it so we can keep up with this, maxing out at two to three years. With a permit, we have a record of who qualifies and who does not. If the vehicles are outside, they have to be in the rear. Mr. Beal stated it is limited to one per residence right now. 13-61 section limited to one vehicle per residence. Mr. Moore asked for staff recommendation for time limits. Mr. Hope stated renewable every year is his preference. Council consensus to make age of vehicle 30 years and annually renewable. Mr. Beal stated our fees currently are structured and most permits are 50.00 with the city. Is this an acceptable fee? Consensus of council to tweak this proposal and come back next month for approval by council. Mr. hope stated it would be great to ask for photos to attach to the permit.

UFB#4 To consider overlay district in the gateway areas of NC27 and I85. At last meeting, council gave direction to take highlighted uses and define them. Mr. Beal stated he took each highlighted use and clearly defined them. With each definition, I gave a staff response. This was presented to the planning commission last week. This can go the board of adjustment for special use permitting. Recommendation by the planning commission is that uses as defined be included in list of prohibitive uses with exception of highlighted items in packet. Mr. Beal stated for now, we are looking at usage versus design and that will come at a later date. Ms. Hubbard asked about 273 gateway, does that go up to where Beaty Road turns off? Mr. Beal stated it does go to that point. Mr. Whitt asked about area up from the YMCA going toward the interstate. Mr. Beal stated yes those are included in the area as well. Mr. Whitt stated he does not like this at all as design standards make more sense than use. I do understand this is a band-aid until strategic plan is done. I thought we were protecting large undeveloped areas that would not benefit the taxpayers of MH. I don't know that this does this. Mr. Beal stated it is important to have a small area plan in place for that area. If anyone comes before you right now, you can't say no. If anybody comes for rezoning to allow multi-family, etc, you can deny based on old land use plan. We need small area plans in place. Mr. Moore stated staff has done a tremendous job researching this but I feel that this boils down to design standards in the long run. I realize we do need a starting point as well but design standards will ultimately make or break us. Mr. Whitt stated moratorium regarding this in place until the 13th. Ms. Hubbard stated I would like to add that when the land use committee worked on this we struggled with design standards as some of these things as a stand alone we did not like but if they were in a mall, they were alright. We struggled with this. This is a good interpretation of where we were trying to go with this. Mr. Beal stated a lot of these things were best addressed by limiting outside storage. Mr. Whitt stated My compliments to the committees looking into this, this has been a difficult feat. Mr. Whitt asked for audience membership from the urban institute. No one is present.

Motion: Mr. Hope moves to include 27 and 85 corridors to accept recommended prohibited uses in gateway overlay district as stated in proposed document. Seconded by Ms. Hubbard.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIES.

NEW BUSINESS
NB#1 To select firm for system development fees. Mr. Kraus stated based on qualifications, staff recommends WK Dickson. Utility committee has not had a chance to make a recommendation on this as of yet.

Motion: Mr. Bishop moves to award bid as noted to WK Dickson. Seconded by Ms. Harris.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIED.

NB#2 To Consider Amending Chapter 3 of Mount Holly City Code. Mr. Kraus stated we have several people who have been in farming for quite some time. There are some reasons to protect existing agricultural areas. We do need to strike out the words agricultural purposes as that was too vague. Anyone who wanted this protection would have to seek rezoning to continue to be protected. Ms. Hubbard asked about issue with spot zoning? Mr. Michael asked about number of RA properties – about ten so far. Mr. Beal stated spot zoning is not a good idea. Mr. Mclean asked about public hearing. Mr. Jackson stated we do not have to have a public hearing for a city code change. Mr. Beal stated fees were in place to cover advertising cost and mailings.

Motion: Motion to approve amending section 3-10 of the city code, removing requirement of being RA, and just allow residential in general meeting requirements, as set forth by proposal in package by Mr. McLean, seconded by Mr. Bishop.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIED.

Mr. Michael asked if they have 5 acres and meet this – does it matter if they become RA? Mr. Beal stated if we make it generically R – it can be done with a lot less headache as long as they meet that 200 foot restriction. Mr. Michael asked about pigs, fowl being allowed? Mr. Jackson stated no swine are allowed. Cows, horse, goat, sheep and fowl are allowed.

NB#3 To Consider multifamily system development fees – Mr. Kraus stated issue is that when you have a multifamily project you typically charge fee per unit. However, when you get into the cloisters, etc – they have a single meter for the entire complex. The current schedule states we charge by the meter. Suggestion is that regardless of meter amount, the fee be charged per unit. This is recommended by the utility committee.
Mr. Michael stated I want to be sure that what council is doing addresses all the issues regarding this. This fee would be paid as it is built. Mr. Mclean suggested fee be charged upon completion of unit. Mr. Kraus stated we could charge it when the master meter is set.

Motion: Mclean moves that multifamily system development fees be charged by unit rather than meter payable at time the master meter is set, seconded by Mr. Moore.
Vote: Mr. McLean votes for this motion. All others against.

Motion by Ms. Harris, seconded by Hubbard to table this discussion until next meeting. All in favor.

Mr. Kemp and Mr. Whitt discussed difference between single family residence requirements. Mr. McLean stated the utility commission discussed this at length. Mr. Moore stated you put a burden on the developer if we require payment prior to occupancy.

NB#4 To Consider request for water and sewer services for proposed development. Greg Dimmer, 112 Creekview Court, Mt. Holly. Presented Council with map. Property connects to Old Hickory Grove Road. Landcraft had been approved for annexation and R8 zoning at one time. It is currently in the county zoning, not one mile radius. I have met all criteria for city of MH. This is all based on annexation as I do want to be part of the city. The water and sewer line that was put in for the county, I would need to tie into that line. If that does not happen, I will have to put in a pump station at Old Hickory Grove. With the county, my understanding, is that you would allow hookup to that line with criteria being met for the city and requesting annexation. This would be R12 zoning versus the smaller R8 zoning originally approved. These would be 300 to 400 thousand dollar home development. This will be above and beyond the Rhyne's Estate Subdivision requirement. The zoning dept has written a letter of approval for us and has presented it to the city manager. Tax base would be great for MH and for the county. Greg thanked Council for time. Mr. Kraus stated the water line would run down the old Hickory Grove Road – coming from the Deerfield section. Mr. Whitt stated the council respects Greg's work and you put up the type of neighborhood we want in MH and we are glad you are pursuing this. Ms. Harris stated she talked to Greg about this and she would much rather see these type of developers to pursue our city. Mr. Moore stated we need to do everything possible to make this happen. Mr. Dimmer stated he needs agreement for water and sewer in advance of pursuing the property. Mr. Hope stated Mr. Dimmer wants to petition this for annexation with moratorium ending in December. When do you want to start construction? Mr. Dimmer stated within the next few months. Mr. Hope stated at the point I need to tie in, will be after December. Mr. Whitt thanked Mr. Dimmer for coming and asked the city manger to put this on work session agenda.

NB#5 <u>To Consider Mount Holly Community Development Foundation Water Fountain Donation</u>. The committee approached them who would like to donate 30,000 dollars for water fountain to be placed in courtyard for city hall facility. Mr. Hope stated this is a recurring expense for the city – does this 30,000 include ongoing maintenance? Mr. Kraus stated no lit was a lump sum. Mr. Whitt stated I think they may be open to hearing an alternate idea from council? Mr. Kraus stated they want something "visible". Mr. Hope stated the first four or five years would be okay but then you spend a lot on maintenance, etc. Ms. Harris stated the landscaping for the courtyard would be much better suitable. Mr. Whitt suggested forming a small committee to work with this. Consensus by council that Councilmen Harris, Hope and Moore will work as committee to meet with foundation board regarding this.

PUBLIC COMMENT
Mayor Hough opened the floor for public comment.

Chase Harper, Charlotte, NC. (Developer of Kendrick Farm) stated he is speaking for residences about speed limit – currently 35 mph - would like to lower to 20 mph within neighborhood limits. He stated we have not officially handed the roads over. We are built out and ready to go through that process. Mr. Michael stated we cannot issue citations until streets have been accepted by the city. In the meantime, you are under county jurisdiction at this point. The city wide speed limit is 35 and if I put down speed bumps and then turned over to the city, then I would have to remove speed bumps. Mr. Kraus stated it is lower in Deerfield, Riverfront. Consensus by council for him to do what is needed and adoption of resolution will occur at later date.

Sylvia Corry – 128 Ashton Woods Court – resident in Kendrick Farm. I want to start a licensed child care center at my home. The six mile radius prevents me from doing this as one is already in Dutchman's Meadow. Mr. Whitt thanked her for coming and stated council would discuss at next work session.

Tobie Simms – 104 Ashton Woods Court – Resident in Kendrick Farm. I have done licensed childcare for over ten years. When we bought our home, our sales agent stated it would be okay to continue the business. NC law states you can care for up to two children without being licensed.

ROUND TABLE

Mr. Moore – met with Mr. Carpenter about Christmas decorations – we have 34 lamp posts and they quoted for 2180.00, they will put up all decorations including the tree and to include storage. Do we want to keep old decorations or sell them and use that money for banners. We have enough in our budget for two seasons of banners at this time. Mr. Whitt stated concentrate on downtown and sell the rest of the stuff – that would be my suggestion. Mr. Moore asked about allocating money for this- we are maxed out on our budget. Mr. Kraus stated we have money in the travel budget that we can use for this.
Motion: Motion by Mr. McLean to appropriate 2180.00 to have lights put up and stored , seconded by Ms. Harris.
Vote: All in favor. CARRIED.

Mr. McLean – Kendrick Farm residents asking for daycare – is that HOA regulated? Mr. Beal stated we have a ½ mile separation to keep residential integrity of the neighborhood. There was a lady that applied in Dutchman's Meadow. She was the first one that applied. These two ladies have come back and tried to slide it in as a tutoring service, etc. Mr. Beal stated I denied this. This is not appropriate. Also JP Development is undergoing construction near Freightliner and they want to use our pump station. Mr. Kraus stated it is designed to pump to our pump station. Mr. McLean stated this is a no-no. Mr. Beal stated WK Dickson did the sewer and bob cook did the other engineering and have sent for revisions. We are waiting on them to come back. A lot of their comments say have already been approved by the city. There are a lot of things we have not approved. Mr. McLean stated our pump station cannot hold this. This was put in just for the school and Northcross industrial park. This needs to come before council.

Mr. Hope – Belton Ave resident called me - (Ms. Caldwell) – can we send code enforcement out there to talk to her? Mr. Kraus stated yes. Auction is set for the 21st at 10 a.m. Mr. Benfield confirmed. List will be in council packet this week.

Mayor Whitt – the bulldozing on Highland where storage buildings are that was stopped – what can we do to get that cleaned up? Mr. Beal stated it was zoned B2 years ago – either maps were not done correctly or are outdated. If it is zoned heavy industrial – they can build. If it is B2 – they cannot expand. We are seeking clarification from Institute of Government. Ms. Harris stated we rezoned that for the dr's office that was coming and then he decided he was not building down there so it never got changed and never was rezoned back. Mr. Hope stated he was only buying a portion so that may be the issue. Mr. Beal stated the owner is determined to put more mini storage units there. I am working diligently to get resolution of this. Mr. Beal stated erosion control may apply. I will get to the bottom of it and advise council. The urban institute wants to meet next Monday, Wednesday or Thursday with us. (16th, 18th or 20th). Mr. McLean will be out of town that week. We will shoot for 4 pm on Wednesday, preferably finishing by 6:30 pm. (18th).

I have an email about 2012 strategic council – one outcome was to request a way for cities to collaborate about issues – would like someone to speak at these meetings on behalf of your city – who do you all want to go? Pat Hubbard agreed to go and email was sent to her.

Northwest Meck forum – on Thursday 19th at lunch – Pine Island – we need representation there. Mr. Hope stated he will go.

Ribbon cutting tomorrow at 10 am – Baker homes – Riverfront – if you all can attend.

Christmas Tree guy wants to rent a lot for 30 days needs water and electric. Mr. Beal stated temporary use nature ordinance may apply – but they have to go before the board of adjustment as a special use.

<u>Motion:</u> Motion to allow Christmas tree sales on vacant commercial lots for 45 days requiring a permit by Mr. Hope, seconded by Mr. Moore.
<u>Vote:</u> All in favor. CARRIES>

Mr. Bishop – light beside city hall - is it fixed? Mr. Kraus stated it will be fixed this week – bulb is burned out. We voted to lower speed limit on Beaty Drive – it never transpired. Mr. Kraus stated we are waiting for DOT to complete this.

Ms. Harris – I would like for David to tell council about his meeting with Mr. graham from the transportation board. Mr. Kraus stated they have 491,094 left out from 2005 program per transportation manager. They are not supposed to maintain a fund balance. We asked about possible projects and he will work with

Danny on some applications to try to get this for transportation planning. Belmont used transportation as a component to their strategic plan and they got 25000.00 per Ms. Harris. We need to take advantage of this. Mr. Jackson stated economic development plan does not have a transportation component but we can re-work to accommodate. I will work directly with Hank to make a viable solution and get our portion of this money for MH. It is an 80/10/ 10 grant match.

Ms. Hubbard – nothing further.

Mr. Moore – granite damage in front of the well house – Mr. Kraus stated it was the workers in front of the leader – they sliced the curb at an angle – Sunbelt is the one responsible. The flag in front of well house – when trucks come by – the flag is being hit.

CLOSED SESSION
Councilperson Hope moved to go into closed session for the purpose of discussing personnel matters, seconded by Councilperson McLean , with all in favor.

Councilperson ________________moved to return to open session, seconded by Councilperson ________________ , with all in favor.

ADJOURN
There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned, upon motion duly made by Councilperson ____________________ , seconded by Councilperson ___________________________, and carried.

_____:_____ PM

________________________________ Clerk

________________________________ Mayor

****

jmb